



Mario Carneiro
July 1, 2014

Natural Deduction in the Metamath Proof Language

What is Metamath?

- A computer language for writing mathematical proofs
- A program to verify proofs in the Metamath language
- A library of completed proofs
 - Almost 20000 proofs exist in set.mm, the main collection of proofs based primarily on ZFC set theory
 - Covers introductory material in set theory, category theory, real analysis, number theory, algebra, topology, linear algebra, lattice theory, etc.

How does it work?

- Consider a logician's "formal proof"
- Formulas look something like $(v_1 \in v_2 \rightarrow \forall v_0 v_1 \in v_2)$, with individual variables and no metavariables
- There are an infinite number of axioms, because there are no schemes (although axiomhood is decidable)
- Using schemes, each axiom is a substitution instance of just a few axiom schemes like $(\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$
- In metamath, the "scheme" concept is extended to theorems

How does it work?

- Each step of a proof uses metavariables
- The result of the proof is a theorem scheme, which can be substituted in later theorems
- 1-1 correspondence of proof steps to logician's "formal proof"

Assertion	
Ref	Expression
idl	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi)$

Proof of Theorem idl			
Step	Hyp	Ref	Expression
1		ax-1 5	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi))$
2		ax-1 5	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi))$
3		ax-2 6	$\vdash ((\varphi \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \varphi) \rightarrow \varphi)) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi)) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi)))$
4	2 , 3	ax-mp 8	$\vdash ((\varphi \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi)) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi))$
5	1 , 4	ax-mp 8	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \varphi)$

Colors of variables: [wff](#) [set](#) [class](#)

Syntax hints: \rightarrow [wi](#) 4

This theorem is referenced by: [pm4.24](#) 676 [fz0n](#) 13802 [ldlval](#) 19735 [dib0](#) 20846 [dih1](#) 20952 [dihgiblem5apre](#) 20954

This theorem was proved from axioms: [ax-1](#) 5 [ax-2](#) 6 [ax-mp](#) 8

Copyright terms: [Public domain](#)

Advantages

- Conceptually simple foundations
- Core verifier is very small (one independent verifier is ≈ 300 lines of python)
- Fast proof verification (≈ 6 sec to verify ≈ 20000 proofs)
- Axioms are user-specified, so it is not tied to any particular logical foundation
 - Each proof in the Proof Explorer lists the axioms that were used to prove it, so it is possible to, say, track AC usage in a proof

Comparison to Mizar

- Proofs are in the form of formulas, not natural language
- Steps are much smaller in scope
 - Similar to C versus assembly
 - Possible target for “compilation” from higher level languages
- Simple open source verifier, public domain proofs
 - Follows QED philosophy: open source means independent verification
- No concept of “exported theorems”
 - All theorems have globally unique labels and are accessible by any later proof
- Hilbert-style proof system (every step of a proof is a theorem)

Some important theorems

- The following theorems have been formalized in set.mm:
 - Russell's paradox
 - Cantor's theorem
 - Schröder-Bernstein Theorem
 - Zorn's lemma
 - Irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$
 - Countability of \mathbb{Q}
 - Euler's thm. & Fermat's little thm.
 - Uncountability of \mathbb{R}
 - Bezout's theorem
 - Heine-Borel theorem
 - Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem
 - Infinitude of the primes
 - Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic
 - Bertrand's postulate
 - Fundamental group of topology
 - Sum of k -th powers
 - Formula for Pythagorean triples
 - Cauchy-Schwarz inequality
 - Descargues's theorem
 - Baire category theorem
 - Riesz representation theorem

Some important theorems

- The following theorems have been formalized in set.mm:
 - Russell's paradox
 - Cantor's theorem
 - Schröder-Bernstein Theorem
 - Zorn's lemma
 - Irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$
 - Countability of \mathbb{Q}
 - Euler's thm. & Fermat's little thm.
 - Uncountability of \mathbb{R}
 - Bezout's theorem
 - Heine-Borel theorem
 - Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem
 - Infinitude of the primes
 - Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic
 - Bertrand's postulate
 - Fundamental group of topology
 - Sum of k -th powers
 - Formula for Pythagorean triples
 - Cauchy-Schwarz inequality
 - Descargues's theorem
 - Baire category theorem
 - Riesz representation theorem

Some important theorems

- The following theorems have been formalized in set.mm:
 - Russell's paradox
 - Cantor's theorem
 - Schröder-Bernstein Theorem
 - Zorn's lemma
 - Irrationality of $\sqrt{2}$
 - Countability of \mathbb{Q}
 - Euler's thm. & Fermat's little thm.
 - Uncountability of \mathbb{R}
 - Bezout's theorem
 - Heine-Borel theorem
 - Bolzano-Weierstrass theorem
 - Infinitude of the primes
 - Fundamental Theorem of Arithmetic
 - Bertrand's postulate
 - Fundamental group of topology
 - Sum of k -th powers
 - Formula for Pythagorean triples
 - Cauchy-Schwarz inequality
 - Descargues's theorem
 - Baire category theorem
 - Riesz representation theorem

Deduction proofs

Theorem `ovolicc` 13569
Description: The measure of a closed interval.
(Contributed by Mario Carneiro, 14-Jun-2014.)

Hypotheses

Ref	Expression
<code>ovolicc.1</code>	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow A \in \mathbb{R})$
<code>ovolicc.2</code>	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow B \in \mathbb{R})$
<code>ovolicc.3</code>	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow A \leq B)$

Assertion

Ref	Expression
<code>ovolicc</code>	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow (\text{vol}^*(A[,]B)) = (B - A))$

- Developed to allow natural deduction in Metamath
- Each hypothesis and the conclusion start with " $\varphi \rightarrow$ "
- Substitutions of $(\varphi \wedge \dots)$ for φ take the place of assumption discharge
- Relies on Metamath's wff metavariables in an essential way

Deduction proofs

12	9, 10, 11	syzantc 493	$(B - A) \wedge (B - A) \leq (\text{vol}^*(A[,B]))$
13	1	adantr 490	$\dots_5 \vdash ((\varphi \wedge x \in \mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow A \in \mathbb{R})$
14	2	adantr 490	$\dots_5 \vdash ((\varphi \wedge x \in \mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow B \in \mathbb{R})$
15		ovolicc.3	$\dots_6 \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow A \leq B)$
16	15	adantr 490	$\dots_5 \vdash ((\varphi \wedge x \in \mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow A \leq B)$
17		simpr 485	$\dots_5 \vdash ((\varphi \wedge x \in \mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow x \in \mathbb{R}^+)$
18	13, 14, 16, 17	ovolicc1 13562	$\dots_4 \vdash ((\varphi \wedge x \in \mathbb{R}^+) \rightarrow (\text{vol}^*(A[,B]) \leq ((B - A) + x)))$
19	18	ralrimiva 2317	$\dots_3 \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^+ (\text{vol}^*(A[,B]) \leq ((B - A) + x)))$
			$\dots_4 \vdash (((\text{vol}^*(A[,B]) \in \mathbb{R}^* \wedge (B - A) \in \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow ((\text{vol}^*(A$

Theorem [ovolicc 13569](#)

Description: The measure of a closed interval.
(Contributed by Mario Carneiro, 14-Jun-2014.)

Hypotheses

Ref	Expression
ovolicc.1	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow A \in \mathbb{R})$
ovolicc.2	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow B \in \mathbb{R})$
ovolicc.3	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow A \leq B)$

Assertion

Ref	Expression
ovolicc	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow (\text{vol}^*(A[,B]) = (B - A)))$



Theorem [ovolicc1 13562](#)

Description: The measure of a closed interval is lower bounded by its length. (Contributed by Mario Carneiro, 13-Jun-2014.)

Hypotheses

Ref	Expression
ovolicc.1	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow A \in \mathbb{R})$
ovolicc.2	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow B \in \mathbb{R})$
ovolicc.3	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow A \leq B)$
ovolicc1.4	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow C \in \mathbb{R}^+)$

Assertion

Ref	Expression
ovolicc1	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow (\text{vol}^*(A[,B]) \leq ((B - A) + C)))$

Deduction Theorem

- A theorem of classical logic which justifies natural deduction proof methods
- If $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash \psi$, then $\Gamma \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$
- The proof operates by modifying each proof step in a proof of $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash \psi$ to produce an equivalent proof of $\Gamma \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$
- We can't use this "theorem" directly in Metamath because it is at the meta-proof level
 - We work with actual proofs – we need to actually show a proof, not just prove that a proof exists nonconstructively
- But we can "implement" the theorem's reductions to produce an actual proof
 - Efficiency matters!

Deduction Theorem

Axioms of propositional calculus		
<u>Axiom Simp</u>	ax-1	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$
<u>Axiom Frege</u>	ax-2	$\vdash ((\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi)))$
<u>Axiom Transp</u>	ax-3	$\vdash ((\neg \varphi \rightarrow \neg \psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$
<u>Rule of Modus Ponens</u>	ax-mp	$\vdash \varphi \ \& \ \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow \vdash \psi$

- The textbook deduction theorem works on a logician's "formal proof": no theorems or metavariables allowed
- Every step is an instance of one of the axioms, or the inference rule ax-mp
- Each step S_i is converted to $S'_i \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \varphi \rightarrow S_i$
- If S_i is an axiom or in Γ , then $\varphi \rightarrow S_i$ is proven in two extra steps from S_i (theorem a1i)
- If S_i is ax-mp applied to two previous steps $S_j, (S_j \rightarrow S_i)$, then $\varphi \rightarrow S_i$ can be proven in three steps from $\varphi \rightarrow S_j, \varphi \rightarrow (S_j \rightarrow S_i)$ (theorem mpd)

Deduction Theorem

<u>Axiom Simp</u>	ax-1	$\vdash (\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$
<u>Axiom Frege</u>	ax-2	$\vdash ((\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow ((\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow \chi)))$
<u>Axiom Transp</u>	ax-3	$\vdash ((\neg \varphi \rightarrow \neg \psi) \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \varphi))$
<u>Rule of Modus Ponens</u>	ax-mp	$\vdash \varphi \ \& \ \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi) \Rightarrow \vdash \psi$

- If S_i is φ (the assumption), then $\varphi \rightarrow \varphi$ is proven in five steps (theorem id) from no assumptions
- Result: A $\approx 3x$ increase in number of steps of a direct-from-axioms proof
- If we allow the usage of theorems a1i, mpd, id, this can be decreased to 1x, but that's not fair since the original proof had no theorem references

Deduction Theorem, v2

- Consider the general case, with a set of theorems all referencing each other
- We count steps as the sum of the steps in each theorem, even if a theorem is used many times with different substitutions
 - exponential gain over direct-from-axioms step count
- Construct a set A of basic theorems that we will need
 - $a1i, mpd, id$
 - $a1i$ applied to each axiom
- Our transformation will add " $\varphi \rightarrow$ " as a prefix to each hypothesis and the conclusion of every theorem T_i in the collection

Deduction Theorem, v2

- The result is the statement that $\Gamma \vdash \psi$ implies $(\varphi \rightarrow \Gamma) \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$
 - To get the standard version " $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash \psi$ implies $\Gamma \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ ", apply a1i to each hypothesis and prove the redundant hypothesis $(\varphi \rightarrow \varphi)$ using id
- Transformation is the same as before, only now we use one step proofs only
- If S_i is an axiom, then $\varphi \rightarrow S_i$ is a theorem from A
- If $S_j, (S_j \rightarrow S_i) \Rightarrow S_i$ is an application of ax-mp, then $\varphi \rightarrow S_j, \varphi \rightarrow (S_j \rightarrow S_i) \Rightarrow \varphi \rightarrow S_i$ is an application of mpd
- If S_i is an application of a previous theorem T_k , then the transformed theorem T'_k , which already has " $\varphi \rightarrow$ " in its hypotheses and conclusion, correctly proves S'_i from the transformed previous steps

Deduction Theorem, v2

- The net result is that the total number of steps increases only by the number of steps in the theorems of A , which is a fixed constant
 - But we had to change every theorem in the collection just to discharge one hypothesis in one theorem!
- Solution: theorems imp, ex: $((\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \chi) \Leftrightarrow (\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi))$
- Call a 1-deduction a theorem where each hypothesis and conclusion is already in the form $(\varphi \rightarrow \dots)$, a 2-deduction for theorems of the form $(\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \dots))$, etc.
- We want to keep the old versions of each theorem, to minimize the effect on the collection

Multiple application

- Call a 1-deduction a theorem where each hypothesis and conclusion is already in the form $(\varphi \rightarrow \dots)$, a 2-deduction for theorems of the form $(\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \dots))$, etc.
- The algorithm just described turns a 0-deduction into a 1-deduction, a 1-deduction into a 2-deduction, etc.
- Any usage of a 2-deduction theorem can be converted to the equivalent 1-deduction theorem by using `imp` on each hypothesis (turns $(\varphi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \dots))$ into $((\varphi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \dots)$) and `ex` on the conclusion (goes the other direction)
- Overhead proportional to the number of hypotheses

Conclusion

- All theorems that are already 1-deductions and only reference 1-deductions are left unchanged
 - Algorithm is idempotent on its output
- In a typical application, only the target theorem is modified, and overhead is proportional to the number of hypotheses to the theorem
 - If $\Gamma \cup \{\varphi\} \vdash \psi$ in n steps, then $\Gamma \vdash (\varphi \rightarrow \psi)$ in $n + |\Gamma| + O(1)$ steps
- Natural deduction can be implemented in a Hilbert system like Metamath with only a constant overhead, if $|\Gamma|$ is bounded
- Not discussed: predicate calculus & bound variables
 - Empirical evidence that it is rarely an issue

Questions